RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

To: Exr. Hoai-An D. Nguyen

JUN 1 0 2005

Name: Jean Macheledt

Company: Macheledt Bales LLP

Voice Number: (970) 267-8884 / (970) 218-6798

Fax Number: (970) 267-8893 Landings Bay Office Park 3944 JFK Parkway, Suite 12-D Fort Collins, Colorado 80525-3072

Date: Friday, June 10, 2005

Total Pages: 22

Subject: App. No. 10/817,354

Name: Exr. Hoai-An D. Nguyen Company: U.S. Patent Office

Voice Number:

Fax Number: (703) 872-9306

Note: Attached please find a 21-page response to Patent Office communication sent 10-May-05.

Respectfully, Jean Macheledt Reg. No. 33,956 Tel. 970.218.6798

Page: 2 of 22

Appl. No. 10/817,354

Response dated 09th June 2005

Communication in reply to Notice regarding Restriction dated 10-May-05

To: Exr. Hoai-An D. Nguyen

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:			FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION <u>21</u> pages total in this response.					
al.	Oomman K. Varghese, et)	→ Please autoREPLY to FAX № (970) 267-8893 I hereby certify under 37 CFR 1.8(a) that a signed original copy					
Filed:	02 April 2004	j.	of this paper is being sent as authorized by 37 CFR 1.6(d) on the					
Serial No:	10/817,354)	date indicated below via standard telephonic facsimile transmission to: (703) 872-9306					
Confirm No:	3287)	ATTN: Examiner Hoai-An D. NGUYEN					
Docket:	PSU-100A)	Amendment/Arguments in response to Office action Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450					
	ia Nanotube Arrays for use as Method of Producing)	Date of Transmission: <u>Friday</u> , 10 th day of <u>June</u> , 2005 By: <u>Jean M Macheledt</u> (printed name) signed:					
Examiner:	Hoai-An D. NGUYEN)	Ja M Marches					
Group Art:	2858	,	$oldsymbol{U}_{i}$, which is the second constant $oldsymbol{U}_{i}$					
	NON EFF Description of Office Communication would 10 May 2005							

NON FEE Response to Office Communication mailed 10 May 2005

Mail Stop NON-Fee Response Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Authorization to Charge FEES, if determined necessary

Dear Sir:

Applicants need not petition to request an extension of time to respond of <0> month under 37 CFR 1.136(a), permitting the attached response and amendments to be entered, as applicants are within shortened statutory time permitted by the examiner. Thus NO FEE is required under §1.17(a)(1) [1251/2251]. However, in the event it is determined that an additional amount is due as a result of the attached response, any such amount is to be charged to Macheledt Bales LLP deposit account no. 020725. Since the response is being fax-filed, no duplicate copy of this first page is enclosed.

		(Cottann 1)		(Column 2)	(Column 3)
σA		CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
NOMENT	Total (27 CFP: 5.:525)	39 - 9 = 30	Rbrus	30	٠ 0
2	Independent (37 CFR (18(b))	10 - 2=8	Minus	*** 8	= 0

Macheledt Bales & Heidmiller LLP Jean M Macheledt, Reg. No. 33,956 Attorney for Applicants/Assignee Tel.(970) 218-6798

Appl. No. 10/817,354 Response dated 09th June 2005 Communication in reply to Notice regarding Restriction dated 10-May-05

To: Exr. Hoai-An D. Nguyen

AMENDMENT and RESPONSE

In response to the Patent Office examiner's Office Action mailed 10 May 2005, please consider the following remarks in the above-captioned case. The undersigned thanks the examiner for his time over the tel. and encourages him to call at a time convenient in the event a conversation might aid moving prosecution further forward and/or result in an examiner's amendment. This response has been formatted in the revised format pursuant to published notification by the Patent Office as best as can be understood. See, MPEP §714 III.

Amendments to the Specification are reflected on page 3 for purposes of correcting typographical error(s).

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the full listing of claims (in the new amendment format) which begins on page 5 of this correspondence, made to simply clarify that which applicants' regard as their invention.

No amendments to the Drawings are deemed necessary, as no suggestion or objection has been made to so amend.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 17 of this correspondence.